

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/030,586	86 05/24/2002		Hans Lander	10191/2175 6461	
26646	7590	09/10/2004		EXAMINER	
KENYON ONE BROA		ON	HWU, DAVIS D		
NEW YORK, NY 10004				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3752	

DATE MAILED: 09/10/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Арр	licant(s)	11/
		10/030,586	LAN	DER ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art I	Jnit	
		Davis Hwu	3752	<u>.</u>	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover	sheet with the corres	oondence address	
A SHOTHE I  - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Is consisted the may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per reto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by steply received by the Office later than three months after the mad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, reply within the statutory mining the statutory mining the will expire Statute, cause the application to	er, may a reply be timely filed num of thirty (30) days will be IX (6) MONTHS from the mai become ABANDONED (35 U	considered timely. ling date of this communicatio J.S.C. § 133).	on.
Status					
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1 This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice und	This action is non-fination	nal matters, prosecut		s
Dispositi	on of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>16-25</u> is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) <u>16-25</u> is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction are	drawn from considera			
Applicati	on Papers				
10) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Exan The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) \[ Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the core the oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b)∭ obje the drawing(s) be held i rection is required if the	n abeyance. See 37 C drawing(s) is objected	FR 1.85(a). to. See 37 CFR 1.121(	<b>d)</b>
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Busee the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been receivents have been receivents have been receiveriority documents have reau (PCT Rule 17.2)	ved. ved in Application No ve been received in t a)).	)	
2) Notic 3) Inform	t <b>(s)</b> e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB r No(s)/Mail Date	/08) 5) 🔲 N	nterview Summary (PTO-4 aper No(s)/Mail Date lotice of Informal Patent A other:	<u>.</u> .	
.S. Patent and Tr PTOL-326 (R		e Action Summary	Part of Pa	per No./Mail Date 200409	908

## **Response to Amendment**

- 1. Applicant's amendment filed August 13, 2004 is acknowledged and entered.
- Applicant's amendment and remarks have been fully considered.
- 3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. Claims 16, 19, and 21-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nally et al. in view of Rhoades.

The patent to Nally et al. discloses a fuel injector for an internal combustion engine having an externally supplied ignition, the fuel injector comprising a fuel inlet 56, a movable valve closure member 24, a fixed valve seat 40 as recited, and a downstream valve end including a component 41 and a fuel outlet, wherein the fuel outlet includes at least one discharge orifice of the component since the component 41 is an orifice disk, and the at least one discharge is arranged downstream of the fixed valve seat (see Figure 1). Nally et al. do not disclose the component including a coating as recited. The patent to Rhoades teaches a method of making a fuel injector in which the fuel injector comprises discharge orifices which are coated with PTFE which contains fluorine in order to attain precise flow resistance. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the device of Nally et al. by providing a coating comprising PTFE around the at least one discharge orifice as taught by Rhoades in order to attain precise flow resistance. The method of coating a surface via spraying as recited in claim 24 is well known in the art.

Application/Control Number: 10/030,586

Art Unit: 3752

5. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nally et al. in view of Rhoades as applied to claim 16 above, and further in view of Fedorovich et al.

The patent of Fedorovich et al. teaches coating internal combustion engines with fluorosilicate to improve heat resistance of the various surfaces of the engines. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the device of Nally et al. and Rhoades by providing a coating comprising fluorosilicate as taught by Fedorovich et al. in order to provide heat resistance.

- 6. Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nally et al. in view of Rhoades as applied to claim 16 above, and further in view of Otomo et al. The patent of Otomo et al. teaches coating gaskets with heat and corrosion resistant PTFE. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the device of Nally et al. and Rhoades by providing coating comprising PTFE as taught by Otomo et al. to resist heat and corrosion of the discharge orifice.
- 7. Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nally et al. in view of Rhoades as applied to claim 16 above, and further in view of Komaroff et al.

The patent of Komaroff et al. teaches an internal combustion comprising an autoignition in which the auto-ignition in known to prevent the problem of having to convert time variables into angle variables as required in a spark-ignition engine. Application/Control Number: 10/030,586

Art Unit: 3752

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the device of Nally et al. and Rhoades by providing the engine with an auto-ignition as taught by Komaroff et al. to prevent the problem of having to convert time variables into angle variables as required in a sparkignition engine.

### Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments filed May 27, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's argument that Rhoades teaches finishing the inner surfaces of orifices is acknowledged, however, in finishing the entire inner surface of an orifice, the coating will extend to the edge radius of the outlet of the orifice in which the edge radius of the outlet of the orifice can be considered to be an immediate exterior of an outlet area of the at least one discharge orifice.

#### Conclusion

9. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 3752

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Davis Hwu whose telephone number is 703-305-1663. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Y. Mar can be reached on (703)308-2087. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703)872-9302.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0861.

Davis Hwu